

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SENIAL NUMBER FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNET DUCKET NO.
08/614,134 03/12/96	SANTILLI	R
		RECUIN T
	F3M1/0705	EXAMINER
BARBARA M BURNS		
1756 PLYMOUTH ROAD	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 276 ANN ARBOR MI 48105		
HING HROOK HI 46105		3304
		07/05/96
		DATE MAILED:
This is a communication from the examiner in charge COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMAR		
1/		
This application has been examined	Responsive to communication filed on	This action is made fina
A shortened statutory period for response to this ac	tion is set to expire 3 month(s)	days from the date of this letter.
Failure to respond within the period for response wi		
Death THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(C) ADD	- DADT OF THE ACTION.	
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE	PART OF THIS ACTION:	
 Notice of References Cited by Examiner Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-14 Information on How to Effect Drawing Cited 	149. 4. Not	tice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152.
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION	1/-0 12 13	
1. Claims	1-8,10-13	are pending in the application
Of the above, claims		are withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims		have been cancelled.
3. Claims	·	are allowed.
4. Claims	10-13	are rejected.
5. Claims		are objected to.
6. Claims		are subject to restriction or election requirement.
7. This application has been filed with informa	al drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are	acceptable for examination purposes.
8. Formal drawings are required in response t	to this Office action.	
		Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings
are □ acceptable; □ not acceptable (see	explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Pate	nt Drawing Review, PTO-948).
10. ☐ The proposed additional or substitute shee examiner; ☐ disapproved by the examine		has (have) been
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed	, has been 🔲 appro	ved; disapproved (see explanation).
12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for purchase Acknowledgement is made of the claim for purchase the claim		d copy has been received not been received not been received
Since this application apppears to be in con accordance with the practice under Ex parter.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ers, prosecution as to the merits is closed in
14. Other		

Serial No. 614,134 Art Unit 3304

It is noted that the numbering of the claims has omitted the number "9", therefore, correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Thompson or McLeod.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 6, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Thompson.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same

Serial No. 614,134 Art Unit 3304

person.

Claims 4, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Thompson in view of Carson.

<u>Claim 4</u>: To make the golf tee of Thompson to have a pyramid shape would have been consider a mere design choice, since golf tees in the art are constructed having a multitude of shapes.

<u>Claim 10</u>: To make the golf the of Thompson of biodegradable material would have been considered obvious in view of the teachings of Carson since such would enhance grass growth as well as reduce course litter due to lost tees.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Thompson in view of Lettrich.

To construct the tee of Thompson of plastic material would have been considered obvious in view of the teachings of Lettrich, since plastic has been found to be more resistant to breakage than tees made of wood.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Whalan, Wilkinson, Middendorf, Laura.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to T. Brown at telephone number (703) 308-3133.

T. Brown:bhw July 2, 1996

THEATRICE BROWN PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 334